



TITLE:

A certain property of a unified family of  $\mathcal{P}_J$ -hierarchies ( $J=I, II, IV, 34$ ) with a large parameter (New development of microlocal analysis and singular perturbation theory)

AUTHOR(S):

Umeta, Yoko

---

CITATION:

Umeta, Yoko. A certain property of a unified family of  $\mathcal{P}_J$ -hierarchies ( $J=I, II, IV, 34$ ) with a large parameter (New development of microlocal analysis and singular perturbation theory). 数理解析研究所講究録別冊 2019, B75: 101-111

ISSUE DATE:

2019-06

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/244774>

RIGHT:

© 2019 by the Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University. All rights reserved.

# A certain property of a unified family of $P_J$ -hierarchies ( $J=I, II, IV, 34$ ) with a large parameter

By

Yoko UMETA\*

## Abstract

We study a unified family of  $P_J$ -hierarchies ( $J=I, II, IV, 34$ ) with a large parameter. The explicit forms of the deformation equation and the Schrödinger equation associated with the unified family of  $P_J$ -hierarchies are derived from the underlying Lax pair.

## § 1. Introduction

In the series of papers ([1], [6]–[14], [16]–[19]), the exact WKB analysis for higher order Painlevé equations has been progressed and important results have been established. T. Kawai, T. Koike, Y. Nishikawa and Y. Takei ([10], [17]) proved that there is a closed connection between the Stokes geometries of  $P_J$ -hierarchies ( $J=I, II, IV, 34$ ), the Noumi-Yamada system and those of their underlying Lax pairs. For example, the following important properties are shared.

- (i) If  $t = \tau^I$  is a turning point of the first kind of a system of non-linear ordinary differential equations, a double turning point merges with a simple turning point in the Stokes geometry of the underlying Lax pair at  $t = \tau^I$ .
- (ii) If  $t = \tau^{II}$  is a turning point of the second kind of a system of non-linear ordinary differential equations, two double turning points in the Stokes geometry of the underlying Lax pair merge at  $t = \tau^{II}$ .
- (iii) Under generic assumptions, if  $t$  lies on a Stokes curve of a system of non-linear ordinary differential equations, two turning points are connected by a Stokes curve in the Stokes geometry of the underlying Lax pair.

---

Received June 15, 2017. Revised June 11, 2018.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification(s): 34E20, 34M40, 76M45

*Key Words:* the exact WKB analysis, Painlevé hierarchies.

Supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant No.15K17557.

\*Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Josai University, 2-3-20, Hirakawacho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, 102-0093, Japan. e-mail: [umeta@josai.ac.jp](mailto:umeta@josai.ac.jp)

These three properties play an important role in analyzing the Stokes phenomenon on a Stokes curve of non-linear differential equations (see [10]-[12], [16] and [19] for  $P_J$ -hierarchies). The author has a question: Do three properties always hold for any system of non-linear ordinary differential equations which describes the compatibility condition of a Lax pair? To investigate the question, the author proved that (i), (ii), (iii) also hold for a unified family of  $P_J$ -hierarchies ( $J=I, II, IV, 34$ ) in [20]. The unified family of  $P_J$ -hierarchies is introduced in [21]. The system has arbitrary coefficients. If we specify the coefficients, then the unified family is equivalent to the  $m$ -th member of  $P_J$ -hierarchies ( $J=I, II, IV, 34$ ). However it is not certain whether the system contains other known Painlevé hierarchies or essentially new equations or not. Motivated by the problem, this paper makes clear the difference between  $P_J$ -hierarchies ( $J=I, II, IV, 34$ ) and the unified family. The plan of the paper is as follows: In §2 and §3, we recall the explicit form (2.2) of a unified family of  $P_J$ -hierarchies ( $J=I, II, IV, 34$ ) with a large parameter and the underlying Lax pair. In §4, we apply the method given by T. Koike in [13] and [14] to (2.2). We derive the deformation equation and the Schrödinger equation from the Lax pair in §3 and the difference between (2.2) and the  $P_J$ -hierarchies is clarified. In §5, we give a supplementary explanation.

**Acknowledgments** The author would like to express her sincere gratitude to Professor Naofumi Honda. §4 is inspired by T. Koike's idea in [13] and [14]. The author would like to express her thanks to Professor Tatsuya Koike.

## § 2. A unified family of $P_J$ -hierarchies ( $J=I, II, IV, 34$ ) with a large parameter

In [21], a unified family of  $P_J$ -hierarchies ( $J=I, II, IV, 34$ ) with a large parameter  $\eta$  is derived from some common structures between the  $m$ -th members  $(P_J)_m$  ( $m = 1, 2, \dots$ ) of  $P_J$ -hierarchies. Let us recall the explicit form of the system. Let  $\theta$  be an independent variable and the notation  $A \equiv B$  means that  $A - B$  is zero modulo  $\theta^{m+2}$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{O}(t)[[\theta]]$  the set of formal power series in  $\theta$  with coefficients in holomorphic functions of variable  $t$ . Let  $U, V$  and  $C$  denote generating functions of unknown functions  $u_k, v_k$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ) of  $t$  and constants  $c_k$  as follows.

$$U(\theta) := \sum_{k=1}^{m+1} u_k \theta^k, \quad V(\theta) := \sum_{k=1}^{m+1} v_k \theta^k, \quad C(\theta) := \sum_{k=1}^m c_k \theta^k$$

with arbitrary polynomials  $u_{m+1}, v_{m+1} \in \mathcal{O}(t)[u_1, \dots, u_m, v_1, \dots, v_m]$  on condition that  $u_{m+1}$  and  $v_{m+1}$  do not include  $\eta$ . Let us define  $H(U, V)$  by the polynomial in  $U$  and  $V$  with arbitrary complex constants  $p_i$  of the form

$$(2.1) \quad H(U, V) := (p_1 U^2 + p_2 V^2) \theta + p_3 UV + p_4 CU + p_5 CV + p_6 U + p_7 V + p_8 C + p_9.$$

We consider the following system on  $\frac{\mathcal{O}(t)[[\theta]]}{\mathcal{O}(t)[[\theta]]\theta^{m+2}}$ .

$$(2.2) \quad \eta^{-1} \frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} U\theta \\ V\theta \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \end{pmatrix} \times (1 - U) + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial H}{\partial U} \\ \frac{\partial H}{\partial V} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{H(U, V)}{1-U} \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $f_1, f_2$  are defined by

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} f_1 &:= p_7 + (\alpha u_1 + p_5 c_1) \theta + y_1 \theta^m + (y_1 u_1 + y_2) \theta^{m+1}, \\ f_2 &:= -\beta - (2\beta u_1 + \alpha v_1 + \varepsilon c_1) \theta + z_1 \theta^m + (2z_1 u_1 - y_1 v_1 + z_2) \theta^{m+1} \end{aligned}$$

with arbitrary holomorphic functions  $y_i, z_i$  of  $t$ . Here  $c_1$  is the coefficient of the leading term of  $C(\theta)$  and  $\alpha, \beta, \varepsilon$  are defined by

$$(2.4) \quad \alpha := p_3 + p_7, \quad \beta := p_6 + p_9 \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon := p_4 + p_8,$$

respectively.

The system (2.2) is equivalent to the following form of the first order system with  $2m$  unknown functions  $u_j, v_j$ :

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{cases} \eta^{-1} \frac{du_j}{dt} = -\alpha u_{j+1} - (\alpha u_1 + p_5 c_1) u_j - 2p_2 v_j - p_5 c_{j+1} + y_1 \delta_{j, m-1} + y_2 \delta_{j, m}, \\ \hspace{25em} j = 1, 2, \dots, m, \\ \eta^{-1} \frac{dv_j}{dt} = \beta u_{j+1} + p_3 v_{j+1} + p_4 c_{j+1} + (2\beta u_1 + \alpha v_1 + 2p_1 + \varepsilon c_1) u_j \\ \hspace{10em} + w_{j+1} + z_1 \delta_{j, m-1} + (z_1 u_1 - y_1 v_1 + z_2) \delta_{j, m}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, m. \end{cases}$$

Here  $\delta_{j, m-1}, \delta_{j, m}$  stand for Kronecker's delta,  $c_{m+1} = 0$  and  $w_j$  is recursively defined by

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} w_j &= \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} w_k u_{j-k} + p_1 \sum_{k=1}^{j-2} u_k u_{j-k-1} + p_2 \sum_{k=1}^{j-2} v_k v_{j-k-1} + p_3 \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} u_k v_{j-k} \\ &\quad + p_4 \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} u_k c_{j-k} + p_5 \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} v_k c_{j-k} + \beta u_j + p_7 v_j + p_8 c_j \quad (1 \leq j \leq m+1). \end{aligned}$$

If  $p_j, y_i, z_i$  in (2.1) and (2.3) are specified by the following list, then (2.2) (also (2.5)) is equivalent to the  $m$ -th member  $(P_J)_m$  of  $P_J$ -hierarchy ( $J = \text{I, II, IV, 34}$ ) which are studied by Kudryashov ([15]), Gordoa, Joshi and Pickering ([5]), Clarkson, Joshi and Pickering ([3]) and so on.

$(P_I)_m$	$\alpha = 0, \beta \neq 0, k = m + 3,$ $p_2 = -1, p_8 = 2, p_9 = 1, z_2 = 2t.$
$(P_{34})_m$	$\alpha = 0, \beta \neq 0, k = m + 2$ $p_2 = -1, p_8 = 2, p_9 = 1, z_1 = 2\gamma t, z_2 = 4\gamma t c_0 \ (\gamma \neq 0).$
$(P_{II})_m$	$\alpha \neq 0, \beta = 0, k = m + 3,$ $p_2 = 1, p_3 = p_5 = 2.$
$(P_{IV})_m$	$\alpha \neq 0, \beta = 0, k = m + 2,$ $p_2 = 1, p_3 = p_5 = 2, y_1 = -2\gamma t \ (\gamma \neq 0).$

Remark that other  $p_i, y_i, z_i$  which are not listed are zero and the explicit forms of  $u_{m+1}$  and  $v_{m+1}$  are described in [21].

### § 3. Lax pair of the system

Firstly, the system (2.2) is expressed in the following form

$$(3.1) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \eta^{-1} \frac{d}{dt}(U\theta) \equiv f_1(1-U) - \frac{\partial H}{\partial V} - \alpha u_{m+1} \theta^{m+1}, \\ \eta^{-1} \frac{d}{dt}(V\theta) \equiv f_2(1-U) + \frac{H(U, V)}{1-U} + \frac{\partial H}{\partial U} + (2\beta u_{m+1} + \alpha v_{m+1}) \theta^{m+1} \end{array} \right.$$

with

$$(3.3) \quad U(\theta) := \sum_{k=1}^m u_k \theta^k, \quad V(\theta) := \sum_{k=1}^m v_k \theta^k, \quad C(\theta) := \sum_{k=1}^m c_k \theta^k.$$

To admit terms of negative power in  $\theta$ , we formally calculate the following underlying Lax pair on  $\mathcal{O}(t)[[\theta, \theta^{-1}]] := \left\{ \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} f_k \theta^k \mid f_k \in \mathcal{O}(t) \right\}$ .

$$(3.4) \quad \left( \gamma \theta^k \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - \eta A \right) \psi(\theta, t) = 0, \quad \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \eta B \right) \psi(\theta, t) = 0$$

with

$$(3.5) \quad A := \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_1 (1-U)\theta \\ \Delta_2 & -\Delta_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B := \begin{pmatrix} \square_1 & 1 \\ \square_2 & -\square_1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\Delta_j$  and  $\square_j$  ( $j = 1, 2$ ) are defined by

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta_1 &:= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial H}{\partial V} - \frac{p_3}{2} (1-U) + \frac{1}{2} (y_1 \theta^m + y_2 \theta^{m+1}) - \frac{\alpha}{2} u_{m+1} \theta^{m+1}, \\ \Delta_2 &:= p_2 \times \left( -\frac{\partial H}{\partial U} - \frac{H(U, V)}{1-U} - (z_1 \theta^m + (z_1 u_1 - y_1 v_1 + z_2) \theta^{m+1}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - (2\beta u_{m+1} + \alpha v_{m+1}) \theta^{m+1} \right), \\ \square_1 &:= -\frac{1}{2\theta} (\alpha + (\alpha u_1 + p_5 c_1) \theta), \quad \square_2 := -\frac{p_2}{\theta} (\beta + (2\beta u_1 + \alpha v_1 + \varepsilon c_1) \theta). \end{aligned}$$

Then the compatibility condition of (3.4) is given in the form

$$(3.7) \quad \frac{\partial A}{\partial t} - \gamma \theta^k \frac{\partial B}{\partial \theta} + \eta(AB - BA) = \begin{pmatrix} M_1 & M_2 \\ M_3 & -M_1 \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{0}.$$

Apparently the matrix  $B$  contains  $\frac{1}{\theta}$ , but the compatibility condition of  $M_i = 0$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ) does not contain terms of negative power in  $\theta$ . Therefore we can consider the equations  $M_i = 0$  on  $\frac{\mathcal{O}(t)[[\theta]]}{\mathcal{O}(t)[[\theta]]\theta^{m+2}}$ , that is  $M_i \equiv 0$ . The system (2.2) has arbitrary coefficients  $p_i$ , arbitrary holomorphic functions  $y_i, z_i$ , and arbitrary polynomials  $u_{m+1}, v_{m+1}$ . However, (2.2) does not necessarily have the underlying Lax pair. As is shown in [20], if we choose  $u_{m+1}, v_{m+1}, p_i, y_i$  and  $z_i$  satisfying the conditions which will be given in Theorem 3.1, then the system (3.1), (3.2) has the underlying Lax pair.

**Theorem 3.1.** ([20]) *Assume that  $p_1 = 0$  and  $k$  is  $m + 3$  or  $m + 2$ . Let  $\gamma$  and  $p_2$  be arbitrary nonzero constants. If we choose  $u_{m+1}$  and  $v_{m+1}$  so that they satisfy the conditions blow, then the system (2.2) (also (2.5)) is equivalent to the compatibility condition ( $M_i \equiv 0$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ )) of (3.4).*

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{cases} \gamma \alpha \theta^{k-2} = y_1' \theta^m + y_2' \theta^{m+1} - \alpha \frac{\partial u_{m+1}}{\partial t} \theta^{m+1}, \\ \gamma \beta \theta^{k-2} = - \left( z_1' \theta^m + (z_1' u_1 - y_1' v_1 + z_2') \theta^{m+1} + \left( 2\beta \frac{\partial u_{m+1}}{\partial t} + \alpha \frac{\partial v_{m+1}}{\partial t} \right) \theta^{m+1} \right). \end{cases}$$

Here  $'$  denotes the derivative with respect to  $t$ .

#### § 4. The deformation equation and the Schrödinger equation associated with (2.2)

Let us investigate the difference between (2.2) and  $(P_J)_m$  ( $J=I, II, IV, 34$ ). In what follows, equations are formally calculated on  $\mathcal{O}(t)[[\theta, \theta^{-1}]]$  and we do not use relations which hold on  $\frac{\mathcal{O}(t)[[\theta]]}{\mathcal{O}(t)[[\theta]]\theta^{m+2}}$  unless otherwise mentioned. Following T. Koike's idea (A.34) in [13], we take the change of unknown functions  $\psi$  and  $\bar{\varphi}$  in (3.4):

$$(4.1) \quad \psi = \exp \left( -\frac{\eta}{2\gamma} \int^\theta h(t, \theta) d\theta \right) \bar{\varphi},$$

where

$$(4.2) \quad h(t, \theta) := \frac{\alpha + p_5 C - y_1 \theta^m - y_2 \theta^{m+1} + \alpha u_{m+1} \theta^{m+1}}{\theta^k}.$$

Then (3.4) is transformed into

$$(4.3) \quad \left( \gamma \theta^k \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - \eta \tilde{A} \right) \bar{\varphi}(t, \theta) = 0, \quad \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \eta \tilde{B} \right) \bar{\varphi}(t, \theta) = 0.$$

Here  $\tilde{A}$  and  $\tilde{B}$  are defined by

$$(4.4) \quad \tilde{A} := \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_1 + \frac{1}{2}\theta^k h(t, \theta) & (1-U)\theta \\ \Delta_2 & -\Delta_1 + \frac{1}{2}\theta^k h(t, \theta) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{B} := \begin{pmatrix} \square_1 + \frac{\alpha}{2\theta} & 1 \\ \square_2 & -\square_1 + \frac{\alpha}{2\theta} \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\Delta_j, \square_j$  ( $j = 1, 2$ ) are defined by (3.6). We can verify that the compatibility condition of (4.3) is completely the same as (3.7) under the conditions (3.8) in Theorem 3.1. From now on, we consider the Lax pair of (4.3) for (2.2).

Let us calculate the equations that the first component  $\varphi_1$  of a solution  $\bar{\varphi} = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1 \\ \varphi_2 \end{pmatrix}$  for (4.3) satisfies. By the same argument in [13], Proposition A.2, the following two differential equations are derived from (4.3), if  $(1-U)\theta \neq 0$  holds:

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left( \gamma^2 \theta^{2k} (1-U) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} + \eta \tilde{p}(t, \theta; \eta) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \eta^2 \tilde{q}(t, \theta; \eta) \right) \varphi_1 = 0, \\ & (1-U) \frac{\partial \varphi_1}{\partial t} = \tilde{A} \frac{\partial \varphi_1}{\partial \theta} + \eta \tilde{B} \varphi_1 \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\tilde{A} = \gamma \theta^{k-1}, \quad \tilde{B} = -\frac{1}{2}(\alpha u_1 + p_5 c_1)(1-U) + p_2 V.$$

Here  $\tilde{p}(t, \theta; \eta)$  and  $\tilde{q}(t, \theta; \eta)$  are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{p}(t, \theta; \eta) &= \eta^{-1} \gamma^2 \theta^{2k-1} \left( (k-1)(1-U) + \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} \theta \right) - \gamma \theta^{2k} h(t, \theta)(1-U), \\ \tilde{q}(t, \theta; \eta) &= \left( \frac{1}{4} h(t, \theta)^2 \theta^{2k} - \Delta_1^2 - \Delta_2(1-U)\theta \right) (1-U) \\ &\quad + \eta^{-1} \gamma \theta^{k+1} p_2 \left( \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} (1-U) + V \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, in  $\mathcal{O}(t)[[\theta, \theta^{-1}]]$ , (4.5) is rewritten in the following forms.

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} + p(t, \theta; \eta) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + q(t, \theta; \eta) \right) \varphi_1 = 0, \\ & \frac{\partial \varphi_1}{\partial t} = \mathcal{A} \frac{\partial \varphi_1}{\partial \theta} + \mathcal{B} \varphi_1 \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\mathcal{A} = \frac{\gamma \theta^{k-1}}{1-U}, \quad \mathcal{B} = \eta \left( -\frac{1}{2}(\alpha u_1 + p_5 c_1) + \frac{p_2 V}{1-U} \right).$$

Here  $p(t, \theta; \eta)$  and  $q(t, \theta; \eta)$  are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} p(t, \theta; \eta) &= -\frac{\eta}{\gamma} h(t, \theta) + \frac{1}{\mathcal{A}} \left( \frac{\partial \mathcal{A}}{\partial \theta} \right), \\ q(t, \theta; \eta) &= \frac{\eta^2}{\gamma^2 \theta^{2k}} \left( \frac{1}{4} h(t, \theta)^2 \theta^{2k} - \Delta_1^2 - \Delta_2(1-U)\theta \right) + \frac{\eta}{\gamma \theta^{k-1}} p_2 \left( \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} + V \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The compatibility condition of (4.6) is  $\bar{\Theta}_1 = \bar{\Theta}_2 = 0$ , where  $\bar{\Theta}_1, \bar{\Theta}_2$  are defined by

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{\Theta}_1 &= \frac{1}{(1-U)^2\theta^2} \left( \left( \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} \theta - (1-U) \right) \Theta_1 + (1-U)\theta \frac{\partial \Theta_1}{\partial \theta} \right), \\ \bar{\Theta}_2 &= \frac{\eta}{\gamma\theta^{k+1}} \frac{p_2 V}{(1-U)^2} \left( \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} + (1-U) \right) \left( -\Theta_1 + \theta \frac{\partial \Theta_1}{\partial \theta} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{\eta}{\gamma\theta^{k+1}} \frac{1}{1-U} \left( \left( \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} \theta - (1-U) \right) \Theta_2 + (1-U)\theta \frac{\partial \Theta_2}{\partial \theta} \right) + \frac{\eta^2}{\gamma^2\theta^{2k}} \Theta_3 \end{aligned}$$

with

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \Theta_1 &:= \frac{\partial U}{\partial t} \theta + \eta (2p_2 V \theta + h\theta^k - (\alpha + (\alpha u_1 + p_5 c_1)\theta)(1-U)), \\ \Theta_2 &:= p_2 \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} \theta + \eta (\Delta_2 + p_2(\beta + (2\beta u_1 + \alpha v_1 + \varepsilon c_1)\theta)(1-U)), \\ \Theta_3 &:= p_2(y_1\theta^m + y_2\theta^{m+1} - \alpha u_{m+1}\theta^{m+1}) \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} \theta \\ &\quad - p_2 (z_1\theta^m + (z_1 u_1 - y_1 v_1 + z_2 + 2\beta u_{m+1} + \alpha v_{m+1})\theta^{m+1}) \frac{\partial U}{\partial t} \theta \\ &\quad + p_2 \left( z_1 \frac{du_1}{dt} - y_1 \frac{dv_1}{dt} \right) (1-U)\theta^{m+2} \end{aligned}$$

Note that, if  $(U, V)$  is a solution of (2.2), then we see  $\Theta_i \equiv 0$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ) on  $\frac{\mathcal{O}(t)[[\theta]]}{\mathcal{O}(t)[[\theta]]^{\theta^{m+2}}}$ .

Let us compute the Schrödinger equation associated with (2.2). We change the unknown function  $\varphi_1$  by  $\varphi$  so that the second term in the first equation of (4.6) vanishes.

$$(4.9) \quad \varphi_1 = e^{-\frac{1}{2} \int^\theta p(t, \theta; \eta) d\theta} \varphi$$

Then we have the Schrödinger equation associated with (2.2) by the first equation of (4.6):

$$(4.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial \theta^2} &= Q(t, \theta; \eta) \varphi, \\ Q(t, \theta; \eta) &= -q(t, \theta; \eta) + \frac{1}{4} p(t, \theta; \eta)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial p}{\partial \theta}(t, \theta; \eta). \end{aligned}$$

The explicit form of the potential  $Q$  is given by

$$(4.11) \quad \begin{aligned} Q(t, \theta; \eta) &= -\frac{\eta^2}{\gamma^2\theta^{2k}} \det A \\ &\quad - \frac{\eta}{\gamma} \left\{ \frac{p_2}{\theta^{k-1}} \left( \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} + V \frac{\frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta}}{1-U} \right) + \frac{1}{2} h(t, \theta) \frac{1}{\mathcal{A}} \frac{\partial \mathcal{A}}{\partial \theta} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial h}{\partial \theta}(t, \theta) \right\} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4} \left\{ \left( \frac{1}{\mathcal{A}} \frac{\partial \mathcal{A}}{\partial \theta} \right)^2 + 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left( \frac{1}{\mathcal{A}} \frac{\partial \mathcal{A}}{\partial \theta} \right) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$



Here  $A$  is defined by (3.5). By the condition (3.8), we have

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial t}(t, \theta) = -\frac{\gamma\alpha}{\theta^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \int^\theta p(t, \theta; \eta) d\theta \right) = -\frac{\eta\alpha}{\theta} - \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(1-U)}{1-U}.$$

Therefore, by (4.9), the second equation of (4.6) is transformed into

$$(4.12) \quad \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} = \mathcal{A} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \theta} - \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\eta\alpha}{\theta} + \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(1-U)}{1-U} + \mathcal{A} p(t, \theta; \eta) - 2\mathcal{B} \right) \varphi.$$

The equation (3.1) is written in the form

$$(4.13) \quad \eta^{-1} \frac{d}{dt}(U\theta) = -2p_2 V\theta + (\alpha + (\alpha u_1 + p_5 c_1)\theta)(1-U) - h(t, \theta)\theta^k.$$

Using (4.13) in (4.12), we have

$$(4.14) \quad \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} = \mathcal{A} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \theta} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \mathcal{A}}{\partial \theta} \varphi.$$

Summing up, by (4.9), the deformation equation and the Schrödinger equation associated with (2.2) are obtained from (4.6):

$$(4.15) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial \theta^2} = Q(t, \theta; \eta) \varphi, \\ \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} = \mathcal{A} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \theta} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \mathcal{A}}{\partial \theta} \varphi, \end{cases} \quad \mathcal{A} := \frac{\gamma\theta^{k-1}}{1-U}.$$

Here  $Q$  is defined by (4.11). In (4.15), we emphasize that  $\mathcal{A}$  is independent of  $p_j, y_i, z_i$ . This means that the deformation equation associated with (2.2) is completely the same as  $(P_J)_m$  ( $J=I, II, IV, 34$ ). The difference between (2.2) and  $(P_J)_m$  is the form of potential  $Q$ . This calculation implies the following. If the potential  $Q$  is deformed such as (4.11), the same geometric structures (specifically, (i),(ii),(iii) in §1) as  $(P_J)_m$  hold.

## § 5. Remark

The expressions of  $(P_J)_m$  in the series of papers [10]–[14] are derived by the transformation of  $\theta = \frac{1}{x}$ . Set

$$(5.1) \quad \mathcal{U}(x, t) := \sum_{j=1}^m u_j(t) x^{m-j}, \quad \mathcal{V}(x, t) := \sum_{j=1}^m v_j(t) x^{m-j}, \quad \mathcal{C}(x) := \sum_{j=1}^m c_j x^{m-j}.$$

By  $\theta = \frac{1}{x}$ , we have

$$U(\theta) = \left( \frac{1}{x} \right)^m \mathcal{U}(x, t), \quad V(\theta) = \left( \frac{1}{x} \right)^m \mathcal{V}(x, t), \quad C(\theta) = \left( \frac{1}{x} \right)^m \mathcal{C}(x).$$

By the relation, (3.1) and (3.2) are rewritten in

$$(5.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \eta^{-1} \frac{d\mathcal{U}}{dt} &\equiv (p_7 x + (\alpha u_1 + p_5 c_1)) (x^m - \mathcal{U}) - \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial \mathcal{V}} + (y_1 x + y_2 - \alpha u_{m+1}), \\ \eta^{-1} \frac{d\mathcal{V}}{dt} &\equiv -(\beta x + (2\beta u_1 + \alpha v_1 + \varepsilon c_1)) (x^m - \mathcal{U}) \\ &\quad + \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial \mathcal{U}} + \frac{\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})}{x^m - \mathcal{U}} + (z_1 x + (z_1 u_1 - y_1 v_1 + z_2 + 2\beta u_{m+1} + \alpha v_{m+1})) \end{aligned}$$

with

$$(5.3) \quad \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}) := p_2 \mathcal{V}^2 + (p_3 \mathcal{U} \mathcal{V} + p_4 \mathcal{C} \mathcal{U} + p_5 \mathcal{C} \mathcal{V}) x + (p_6 \mathcal{U} + p_7 \mathcal{V} + p_8 \mathcal{C}) x^{m+1} + p_9 x^{2m+1}.$$

In the original equation (2.2),  $A \equiv B$  means that  $A - B$  is zero modulo  $\theta^{m+2}$ . In the procedure of derivation of (5.2), we multiply both sides of the original equation by  $x^{m+1}$ . Hence we consider our problem with mode  $\theta^{(m+2)-(m+1)}$ . For that reason, the notation  $\equiv$  in (5.2) means that we exclude the terms of  $x^j$  ( $j \leq -1$ ), that is, we consider (5.2) on  $\mathcal{O}(t)[[x]]$ . If we compare the coefficients of  $\theta^j$  ( $j = 0, 1, \dots, m-1$ ) in both sides of (5.2), we obtain (2.5).

By  $\theta = \frac{1}{x}$ , Theorem 3.1 is rewritten in the following.

**Theorem 5.1.** *Assume that  $p_1 = 0$  and  $k$  is  $m+3$  or  $m+2$ . Let  $\gamma$  and  $p_2$  be arbitrary nonzero constants. Let us choose  $u_{m+1}$  and  $v_{m+1}$  of (5.2) by the following conditions.*

$$(5.4) \quad \begin{cases} \gamma \alpha x^{m+3-k} = y_1' x + y_2' - \alpha \frac{\partial u_{m+1}}{\partial t}, \\ \gamma \beta x^{m+3-k} = -\left( z_1' x + z_1' u_1 - y_1' v_1 + z_2' + 2\beta \frac{\partial u_{m+1}}{\partial t} + \alpha \frac{\partial v_{m+1}}{\partial t} \right). \end{cases}$$

Here  $'$  denotes the derivative with respect to  $t$ . Then we have a Lax pair for (5.2) of the following form.

$$(5.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \eta^{-1} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x} &= \frac{1}{\gamma} x^{k-(m+3)} \begin{pmatrix} p_2 \mathcal{V} & -(x^m - \mathcal{U}) \\ \hat{\Delta}_2 & -(p_2 \mathcal{V} + 2h(t, x)) \end{pmatrix} \varphi \\ \eta^{-1} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} &= \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{2}(\alpha u_1 + p_5 c_1) & 1 \\ -p_2(\beta x + (2\beta u_1 + \alpha v_1 + \varepsilon c_1)) & \frac{1}{2}(2\alpha x + (\alpha u_1 + p_5 c_1)) \end{pmatrix} \varphi. \end{aligned}$$

Here  $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$  is defined by (5.3),  $\hat{\Delta}_2$  and  $h(t, x)$  are defined by

$$(5.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \hat{\Delta}_2 &:= p_2 \left( \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial \mathcal{U}} + \frac{\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})}{x^m - \mathcal{U}} + z_1 x + (z_1 u_1 - y_1 v_1 + z_2 + 2\beta u_{m+1} + \alpha v_{m+1}) \right), \\ h(t, x) &:= \frac{1}{2} (p_5 \mathcal{C} x + \alpha x^{m+1} - y_1 x - y_2 + \alpha u_{m+1}), \end{aligned}$$

respectively.

By the same arguments in §4, we have

$$(5.7) \quad \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + p(t, x; \eta) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + q(t, x; \eta) \right) \varphi_1 = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial \varphi_1}{\partial t} = \hat{\mathcal{A}} \frac{\partial \varphi_1}{\partial x} - \hat{\mathcal{B}} \varphi_1.$$

Here  $p(t, x; \eta)$ ,  $q(t, x; \eta)$ ,  $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$  and  $\hat{\mathcal{B}}$  are defined by

$$(5.8) \quad p(t, x; \eta) = \frac{2\eta}{\gamma} x^{k-(m+3)} h(t, x) - \frac{k - (m+3)}{x} - \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial x}(x^m - \mathcal{U})}{x^m - \mathcal{U}},$$

$$q(t, x; \eta) = \frac{\eta^2}{\gamma^2} x^{2(k-(m+3))} p_2 \left( \beta x^{2m+1} + \varepsilon \mathcal{C} x^{m+1} + \mathcal{V}(y_1 x + y_2 - \alpha u_{m+1}) \right. \\ \left. + (x^m - \mathcal{U})(z_1 x + (z_1 u_1 - y_1 v_1 + z_2 + 2\beta u_{m+1} + \alpha v_{m+1})) \right) \\ + \frac{\eta}{\gamma} x^{k-(m+3)} p_2 \left( \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial x}(x^m - \mathcal{U})}{x^m - \mathcal{U}} \mathcal{V} - \frac{\partial \mathcal{V}}{\partial x} \right),$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{A}} = -\frac{\gamma x^{m+3-k}}{x^m - \mathcal{U}} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\mathcal{B}} = \frac{1}{2} \eta \left( (\alpha u_1 + p_5 c_1) - \frac{2p_2 \mathcal{V}}{x^m - \mathcal{U}} \right),$$

respectively. By the following transformation

$$(5.9) \quad \varphi_1 = e^{-\frac{1}{2} \int^x p(t, x; \eta) dx} \psi,$$

the system (5.7) is transformed into

$$(5.10) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} = Q(t, x; \eta) \psi, \\ Q(t, x; \eta) = -q(t, x; \eta) + \frac{1}{4} p(t, x; \eta)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x}(t, x; \eta), \\ \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = \mathcal{D} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \mathcal{D}}{\partial x} \psi, \quad \mathcal{D} := -\frac{\gamma x^{m+3-k}}{x^m - \mathcal{U}}. \end{cases}$$

## References

- [1] Aoki, T., Multiple-scale analysis for higher-order Painlevé equations, *RIMS Kôkyûroku Bessatsu*, **B5** (2008), 89–98.
- [2] Aoki, T., Honda, N. and Umeta, Y., On a construction of general formal solutions for equations of the first Painlevé hierarchy I, *Adv. Math.*, **235** (2013), 496–524.
- [3] Clarkson, P. A., Joshi, N. and Pickering, A., Bäcklund transformations for the second Painlevé hierarchy: a modified truncation approach, *Inverse Problems*, **15** (1999), 175–187.
- [4] Gordo, P. R. and Pickering, A., Nonisospectral scattering problems: A key to integrable hierarchies, *J. Math. Phys.*, **40** (1999), 5749–5786.

- [5] Gordoa, P. R., Joshi, N. and Pickering, A., On a generalized 2+1 dispersive water wave hierarchy, *Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ.*, **37** (2001), 327–347.
- [6] Honda, N., Some examples of the Stoke geometry for Noumi-Yamada systems, *RIMS Kôkyûroku*, **1516** (2006), 21–167.
- [7] ———, On the Stokes geometry of the Noumi-Yamada system, *RIMS Kôkyûroku Bessatsu*, **B2** (2007), 45–72.
- [8] ———, The geometric structure of a virtual turning point and the model of the Stokes geometry, *RIMS Kôkyûroku Bessatsu*, **B10** (2008), 63–117.
- [9] Honda, N., Kawai, T. and Takei, Y., Virtual turning points, Springer briefs in Mathematical Physics, 4, Springer, 2015.
- [10] Kawai, T., Koike, T., Nishikawa, Y. and Takei, Y., On the Stokes geometry of higher order Painlevé equations, *Astérisque*, **297** (2004), 117–166.
- [11] Kawai, T. and Takei, Y., WKB analysis of higher order Painlevé equations with a large parameter - Local reduction of 0-parameter solutions for Painlevé hierarchies ( $P_J$ ) ( $J = \text{I, II-1 or II-2}$ ), *Adv. Math.*, **203** (2006), 636–672.
- [12] ———, WKB analysis of higher order Painlevé equations with a large parameter. II. Structure theorem for instanton-type solutions of  $(P_J)_m$  ( $J = \text{I, 34, II-2 or IV}$ ) near a simple  $P$ -turning point of the first kind, *Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ.*, **47** (2011), 153–219.
- [13] Koike, T., On the Hamiltonian structures of the second and the forth Painlevé hierarchies and the degenerate Garnier systems, *RIMS Kôkyûroku Bessatsu*, **B2** (2007), 99–127.
- [14] ———, On new expressions of the Painlevé hierarchies, *RIMS Kôkyûroku Bessatsu*, **B5** (2008), 153–198.
- [15] Kudryashov, N. A., The first and second Painlevé equations of higher order and some relations between them, *Phys. Lett. A*, **224** (1997), 353–360.
- [16] Takei, Y., An explicit description of the connection formula for the first Painlevé equation, Toward the Exact WKB Analysis of Differential Equations, Linear or Non-Linear, *Kyoto University Press*, 2000, pp. 271–296.
- [17] ———, Toward the exact WKB analysis for higher-order Painlevé equations-The case of Noumi-Yamada Systems-, *Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ.*, **40** (2004), 709–730.
- [18] ———, On the fourth order PI equation and coalescing phenomena of nonlinear turning points, *RIMS Kôkyûroku Bessatsu*, **B52** (2014), 301–316.
- [19] ———, Instanton-type formal solutions for the first Painlevé hierarchy, Algebraic Analysis of Differential Equations, *Springer-Verlag* (2008), 307–319.
- [20] Umeta, Y., On the Stokes geometry of a unified family of  $P_J$ -hierarchies ( $J=\text{I, II, IV, 34}$ ), *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.*, **55** (2019), no.1, 79–107.
- [21] ———, General formal solutions for a unified family of  $P_J$ -hierarchies ( $J=\text{I, II, IV, 34}$ ), to appear in *J. Math. Soc. Japan*.